

The Book of Job Lesson 8 4Q 2016 Innocent Blood

SABBATH

What comes to mind from the title? In Scripture, whose blood is the innocent blood?

When Scripture refers to the blood of the lamb, or the blood of the sacrificial offering, is this to be taken literally? Is it talking about literal red corpuscles?

To what is the blood referring? The life of the creature is in the blood Lev 17:11—so the blood is a metaphor, a symbol of the life.

In this case, then, the life of Jesus, and was Jesus life a sinful or sinless life? A sinless life! So the blood of Jesus is symbolic of the sinless life of Jesus.

Why is this important? How was the blood of the sacrificial animal to be utilized? It was to be applied to various points in the sanctuary. Consider what the implications of that are—the sinless life being taken throughout.

Did the blood of the sacrificial animal have any impact on saving sinners? Why or why not?

I was listening to Christian radio the week before Easter 2016 when someone called in and asked the two guest theologians to discuss the purpose of animal sacrifices in the Old Testament Jewish system. Sadly there answer is revealed how deeply the infection of imposed law has penetrated Christianity:

First theologian: The reason in Leviticus 17 is that there has to be punishment with death for sin, and so in Leviticus 17 when the animal sacrificial system is being established it says this, Leviticus 17:10 "Any Israelite or any alien living among them who eats any blood—I will set my face against that person who eats blood and will cut him off from his people. For the life of a creature is in the blood, and I have given it to you to make atonement for yourselves on the altar; it is the blood that makes atonement for one's life." See blood represents life and there has to be the punishment of death for sin, so therefore an animal had to take the punishment and give its life—it's blood—so that the offerer could live. That was the substitution. What my former professor here at Moody used to call, the exchange of life. Louis Goldberg called it that, the exchange of life. The animal dies, the person lives. And so that's the reason for the Old Testament sacrifice for atonement."

Second theologian: "A couple of things in the New Testament. In Luke's gospel it talks about how on the night that he was betrayed and Jesus was having the Passover meal "And in the same way *He took* the cup after they had eaten, saying, "This cup which is poured out for you

is the new covenant in My blood." That is it's the death of Jesus that comes about because of his blood loss among other things, his blood loss as well is the thing whereby he was our atoning sacrifice. His death is required for us as well in order to save us. Paul talks about in Romans 5:9 "Much more then, having now been justified by His blood, we shall be saved from the wrath of God through Him." And so it's very much the same principle. In the Old Testament, the death of an animal was required to take care of the human sin problem and now we have not the death of an animal but of the very Son of God who dies for us. And so his loss of blood is the thing whereby he succumbed on our behalf. ¹

Are these theologians correct? Was the blood of the animal necessary for sinners to be saved?

The writer of Hebrews tried to help the New Testament church break out of such thinking by reminding them that "the gifts and sacrifices being offered were not able to clear the conscience of the worshiper. They are only a matter of food and drink and various ceremonial washings—external regulations applying until the time of the new order... But those sacrifices are an annual reminder of sins, because it is impossible for the blood of bulls and goats to take away sins." (Heb 9:9,10; 10:3,4 emphasis mine)

Animal sacrifices could never—at any time in human history—take care of the sin problem, because they could not cleanse the conscience, transform the heart, or renew the character, which is required to save sinners. God has had his spokespersons telling humans this throughout our entire history:

- "The multitude of your sacrifices— what are they to me?" says the LORD. "I have more than enough of burnt offerings, of rams and the fat of fattened animals; I have no pleasure in the blood of bulls and lambs and goats... wash and make yourselves clean. Take your evil deeds out of my sight! Stop doing wrong, learn to do right! Seek justice, encourage the oppressed. Defend the cause of the fatherless, plead the case of the widow." (Isa 1:11,16,17)
- With what shall I come before the LORD and bow down before the exalted God? Shall I come before him with burnt offerings, with calves a year old?

 Will the LORD be pleased with thousands of rams, with ten thousand rivers of oil? Shall I offer my firstborn for my transgression, the fruit of my body for the sin of my soul? He has showed you, O man, what is good. And what does the LORD require of you? To act justly and to love mercy and to walk humbly with your God. (Mic 6:6-8)

But perhaps Hosea puts what God wants, what he has always wanted and been working to achieve, most succinctly: "I want your constant love, not your animal sacrifices. I would rather have my people know me than burn offerings to me." (Hos 6:6 GNT) ¹

¹ Chris Fabry Live March 23, 2016, moody broadcasting http://www.moodyradio.org/Chris-Fabry-Live/2016/03-2016/2016-03-23---The-Centurion---Bible-Q---A/ The second hour beginning at minute 12:25, guests quoted Michael Vanlaningham and Michael Rydelnik.



What is God saying through His prophets He wants? He wants transformation of the hearts, minds, and characters of His children. He wants love restored in them as the way of living. He wants them to be reunited in heart with Him. This is seeing blood as life, and the blood circles in the animal which is object lesson for the circle of love, the principle of giving.

But there is a problem in what the theologians on the radio described and what many Christians think about the innocent blood. What is the problem, and why do good people think this way?

- The problem is they don't see the blood as representative of sinless life, they see the blood as representative of death, the death of the sinless life. Why?
- They are operating through the wrong law lens, thinking that God's law functions like human law and wrongly believe sin must be punished, and thus a life has to be taken.

What did Jesus say regarding His blood?

Jesus said to them, "I tell you the truth, unless you eat the flesh of the Son of Man and drink his blood, you have no life in you. ⁵⁴ Whoever eats my flesh and drinks my blood has eternal life, and I will raise him up at the last day. ⁵⁵ For my flesh is real food and my blood is real drink. ⁵⁶ Whoever eats my flesh and drinks my blood remains in me, and I in him. ⁵⁷ Just as the living Father sent me and I live because of the Father, so the one who feeds on me will live because of me. John 6:53-57

Was Jesus talking cannibalism? Then what was Jesus talking about? Did Jesus here have in mind any connection to the blood of the sacrificial system? When John the Baptist referred to Jesus as the Lamb of God that takes away the sin of the world, was he connecting Jesus to the symbolic system?

Was Jesus suggesting in His metaphorical use of ingesting His blood that we should be ingesting death? Or that we should be partakers of the divine nature?

- Are we the temple of God?
- Does this connect to the OT sanctuary?
- Was the OT system designed to teach us about how the life of Christ gets restored back into God's Spirit Temple?
- Is it a problem if we instead teach the blood represents death?

If a person views the sin problem as a legal problem, then they wrongly conclude that justice requires the infliction of the death penalty by the ruling authority upon the guilty. But, God instead inflicts the death penalty upon His innocent Son whose death is legally accepted as the proper payment either to God or the law for our just punishment. The blood then becomes symbolic of death, the death of the innocent Son of God, that pays the death penalty.

All of this is wrong and is based on the wrong law concept.

Under design law, we realize that sin is a condition of being, which is out of harmony with God and His design for life. This condition is terminal unless remedied. No human being, born of a sinful mother and father, could cure the condition and develop a perfect sinless character and restore God's design back into humanity. So Jesus came, and took upon Himself the terminal condition, through being incarnate—the humanity that He received from His mother—but Jesus also, because His Father was the Holy Spirit had a sinless mind which He could exercise overcome the infection of fear and selfishness and develop a perfect character thus restoring God's design back into the species human.

Thus the blood is really symbolic of the sinless life of Jesus, the perfect character He developed as a human being living in a sinful world!

What does it mean to ingest His flesh and blood? As meat is made of molecules which are nutritional building blocks to the body, so Jesus is the truth, the living Word of God and it is the truth, the ideas, the reality of Jesus which becomes the building blocks of our thoughts, ideas and ultimately our beliefs which restore us to trust in God. In trust we open the heart to God and via the Holy Spirit God pours His perfect character of love into our hearts and this is what the blood symbolizes, the life of God, the nature and character of God is love and thus we become partakers of the divine nature when we die to self and live to love! This is partaking of the flesh and blood of Jesus. This is design law, how things are created to function and has nothing to do with any legal penalties being paid.

SUNDAY

Read first paragraph, "Eliphaz, Bildad..." What do you think when the lesson authors use people that God specifically chastises for saying the wrong thing about Him, as having good points? What is the specific, so called, good point the lesson authors use these people to try and make? That God is the source of inflicted punishment.

What does this reveal?

It sadly reveals that the authors are operating upon the wrong law construct, viewing reality through the lens that God's laws function like the laws that sinful human beings make, therefore they wrongly conclude God must punish.

If sin is punished by God, and Christ became sin who knew no sin, then who killed Christ? There are those in Christianity who teach, because they have the wrong concept of the law, that God, not Satan, is the one who killed Jesus at the cross.

But does any inspired source teach that? No! All the inspired sources teach that God didn't lay a hand on Jesus, but that Jesus died from the combination of physical abuse and His Father letting go and not intervening to prevent what was happening to Jesus.



Read second paragraph, "At times of great..." Any concerns with the assumptions in the questions?

What is the assumption in this question, "Why, Lord, did You bother to create me at all?"

- That individual human beings are created directly by God, by God's direct creative power and action—is this true?
- Did God create the human species? Yes, where? In Eden.
- What was the condition of Adam and Eve when God created them? Perfect and sinless.
- Does inspiration teach that God had a direct hand in the creation of any other individual human? Yes, who? Jesus, and what was the condition of Jesus—sinless!
- Does the Bible teach that God gave Adam and Eve an ability, in perfection before sin, the ability to pro-create, to create beings in their image?
- Did God take that ability away from them when they sinned?
- The Bible teaches we are born in sin, conceived in iniquity. (Ps 51:5) Does God create sin and iniquity? No, then why are we born this way? Because Adam and Eve corrupted themselves and human beings possess the ability to create beings in their image.
- When a man rapes a woman and she becomes pregnant—is that an act of God? No, it is an abuse of an ability God gave mankind.
- So, who decided, that each of us as individuals would be here? Our parents—if my parents would have chosen to skip intimate relations the month I was conceived, I would not exist. My mother had one ovum and my father had one sperm that could combine to make me. Any other combination would be one of my siblings—I have two siblings and they are not me!

Does this idea make anyone uncomfortable? Or does it free us from some terrible ideas being taught about God—like God creates sinners, or God creates diseased and defective beings—persons born with various congenital defects? And if God is the one creating then a person born with congenital defects means that God wants that person born with the various defects to be that way? Such an idea is distorted, wrong, and makes God out to be a being unworthy of our trust. This is based on the lie, the distortion that God creates each individual personally, directly from heaven, like He created Adam and Eve. Such a view demonstrates ignorance of how reality works.

What is the assumption in this question, "Why are You doing this to me?"

- That God is the source of inflicted pain, suffering, tribulations, trials
- That God, as Sovereign, directly causes all things to happen as they do
- That it is God's will for things to be this way

What is the problem with this type of thinking? What kind of God would such a being be?

Job 10:7 states, "Although You know that I am not wicked, and there is not one who can deliver from Your hand."

What falsehood is Job struggling with in this passage? That God is the One we must be delivered from—is that true? Who wants us to think we must seek to be delivered or saved from God?



What theologies are currently being taught in Christianity that teach this same idea?

- Jesus died to pay the Father so the Father won't kill us
- Covered by the robe of righteousness so the Father won't see us
- Covered by the blood so the Father will see the blood and realize payment has been made and relent His angry wrath
- The blood applied to record books to erase the record of sins so the Father won't find the record and have to punish us
- Having Jesus, by Himself or along with Mary and the saints, plead to the Father to turn Him away from hurting us

Notice, many theologies are designed to protect us from God rather than reconcile us to God—who wants us to believe we need to be protected from God? Satan wants us to think this, thus he has infected humanity and Christianity with the false imposed law construct and we end up teaching that God is the source of inflicted pain, suffering and death, and ultimately create theologies that separate us from God.

Read the fourth paragraph, "There's a difficult irony..." Why didn't Job know?

- Didn't God reveal to Adam and Eve a conflict over His character with an enemy who is the source of sin and death?
- What prevented Job from knowing this?
- Was God hiding the information?
- Was God restrictive with the truth?
- Or is Job's very struggle to understand the truth evidence of the depths of Satan's lies and distortions about God?
- Does this give us insight into New Testament passages like:
 - o Now to him who is able to establish you by my gospel and the **proclamation of Jesus** Christ, according to the revelation of the mystery hidden for long ages past, ²⁶ but now revealed and made known through the prophetic writings by the command of the eternal God... Rom 16:25,26 what is the mystery? When is it being made known? Now, Paul's day, through what? The prophetic writings, when were they available? Then why was the mystery being made know in Paul's day if the writings were available for centuries before? Because Jesus had come to make clear God's true nature and character and refute the falsehoods of Satan and thus the Scriptures can be understood in their true meaning. So what caused the mystery, the obscuring of truth, difficulty in seeing God's true character? Satan's lies about God!
 - No, we speak of God's secret wisdom, a wisdom that has been hidden [hidden by whom?] and that God destined for our glory before time began. [If God intended this wisdom for our glory, was He the one hiding it? No! What wisdom would this be? The truth of God's character of love!] 8 None of the rulers of this age understood it, for if they had, they would not have crucified the Lord of glory. Why didn't they understand

it? Because they accepted the lie that God's laws function like human laws and therefore God is a god who inflicts punishment, i.e. rules like the worldly rulers rule. And adopting such a view of God prevents people from being glorified, or transformed in character to be like God. Why? By beholding we become changed—the law of worship, we become like the God we worship—design law.] 2Cor 2:7,8

Although I am less than the least of all God's people, this grace was given me: to preach to the Gentiles the unsearchable riches of Christ, 9 and to make plain to everyone the administration of this mystery, which for ages past was kept hidden in God, who created all things. [What was the mystery hidden that is to be administered now? The truth about God as revealed in Christ. What kept this hidden? Did God hide it? No Satan's lies about God obscured the truth until Christ came and revealed it.] 10 His intent was that now, through the church, the manifold wisdom of God should be made known to the rulers and authorities in the heavenly realms, ¹¹ according to his eternal purpose which he accomplished in Christ Jesus our Lord. Eph 3:8-11 [What wisdom is the church to make known? The truth of God's character and nature of love—which will enlighten the rulers and authorities in the universe! This is God's ETERNAL purpose, does this connect with another passage of Scripture? Revelation 14—I saw another angel flying through heaven with the ETERNAL GOSPEL, what is the eternal good news? The truth of God's character of love which has been hidden by the lies of Satan! And the end-time church is to reveal that character—sadly the church instead is infected with Satan's view of God's law and has taken a dictator view of God to the world.]

What was the mystery that was hidden? Who hid it? Who obscured this truth? And what reveals it?

Do you think that had Job understood the Great Controversy elements going on he would have been more bitter and felt worse?

MONDAY

Read second paragraph, "On the other hand..." thoughts? What do you hear?

What level of moral development is being presented? This is at best level four legal thinking of the human law construct paradigm.

If an HIV infected man and woman got together and had an HIV infected baby, what did the baby do wrong? Is the baby innocent of any wrong doing? Yes, but does the baby still have a condition that the baby did not personally choose, that if not remedy will result in death?

This is the condition of sin. Adam and Eve chose to change their very nature, their condition, and we are born with this condition that we did not choose. Thus we are born dead in trespass and sin—i.e.



terminal—but Jesus came to remedy the condition. Thus we as individuals are innocent of having the condition, i.e. it is not our individual fault, but we are not innocent of rejecting the remedy—if we refuse to partake of Christ and what He provides!

The last paragraph states "Job knew that what was happening to him was not something that he deserved."

What level of moral development is this? This is level 1-4:

- level 1—reward and punishment—get punished for doing something wrong, so bad outcomes are not fair unless one does something wrong. Level one—Job didn't deserve this because he didn't do wrong.
- level 2—market place exchange—punishment here is only appropriate if one didn't keep there part of the bargain. Job did his part, even offered extra sacrifices, so what happened wasn't deserved.
- level 3—social conformity—punishment is appropriate when one deviates from group rules, but Job didn't so he didn't deserve what was happening.
- Level 4—law and order—punishment is proper when one breaks the law and the punishment inflicted is appropriate to the crime. Job didn't break the law so he didn't deserve to be punished.

This idea that Job didn't deserve what happened is based on level 1-4 thinking, which is based on misunderstanding God's law and how reality functions.

- level 5—love for others—love only exists in an atmosphere of freedom, and therefore one who loves grants others genuine freedom—even it is freedom to not love in return. In a world where love is not supreme pain and suffering happens to innocent people who do love others because they are willing to sacrifice self for the good of others—even their enemies. A movie Hacksaw Ridge came out this weekend, it is about the true story of Desmond Doss. Did Desmond Doss deserve the right to love others more than self? And what transpired by his love for God and others in this world where love does not reign supreme in all hearts? Did Desmond Doss lose out because he loved? Or did his faithfulness to God's methods ultimately not only win for him personally, in his development of character, but won many others over from selfishness to love, and continues to do so?
- level 6—principle based living—understanding the design protocols for life—suffering happens when deviations from design happen, whether one chooses to deviate themselves or not. So, if a tree falls on your house and crushes your leg, the broken leg and pain are what is deserved when a tree falls on you. Or, if one drinks water contaminated with toxins and later develops cancer, cancer is what is deserved when such toxins are ingested.
 - O Does the worm deserve to be eaten by the bird?
 - O Does the bird deserve to be eaten by the cat?
 - o Why does it happen? Because the law of sin and death has infected God's design.



- Does the truth deserve to be revealed so sentient beings can make intelligent choices of which method they prefer?
- level 7—Understanding Friend of God—not only love for others and understanding design, but understanding and participating in God's purposes.
 - O God is working to resolve the problem of sin, to eradicate deviations from His design and restore the universe to perfection—but always in harmony with His character and methods—which means via the principles of truth, love and liberty.
 - O Did Joseph get what he deserved? Did Joseph stay loyal to God and did God allow events to happen for a larger purpose? Yes, the saving of Joseph's family and the protection of the avenue through which Messiah would come, and thus saving of the human species. Further, through this story the object lesson and teaching which has inspired millions through time, thus working to save untold numbers. And in Joseph's life personally, did Joseph benefit from the way things unfolded both here and eternally? So, Joseph trusted God and experienced what? Healing of mind and character, which is what is deserved i.e. what happens when one trusts God, not deserved in the imposed law sense of what one earns and has arbitrarily bestowed, but deserved in the design law sense as in what happens when one is reconciled to God!
 - o Thus in the life of Job, God had a greater purpose in mind than just Job's personal health and welfare, God is concerned with the millions of people through history who have needed the lessons that Job's life brings to help them not be duped into a false system of thinking
 - o Further—let's look at Tuesday's lesson which brings in another point about death

TUESDAY

What do you think of the last paragraph "We face similar..." How do we understand it?

What of the assumption that the earthquake was a punishment for sin? Was it? Why would the authors assume that death in an earthquake was a punishment for sin? And if it wasn't a punishment for sin, why was there death not fair?

This is based on such a severe warped understanding of reality.

God's reality is that He created humans to live eternally, to never die, but sin infected His creation causing pain, suffering and eternal death, and without the remedy achieved by Christ all humans would die eternally.

If someone experiences the first death, what Jesus and the Bible authors called the sleep death—is that the punishment for sin? No! Why does it exist? Because of God's grace, this first—sleep—death is an artificial state of being that is not the natural result of sin, but permitted to reduce the pain and suffering caused by sin while the plan of salvation is being carried out.



Further, from God's perspective, everyone who dies the first (sleep) death dies young, whether at 969 or at age 1—all die young.

Consider a rope from here to our sun, which is 93 million miles long. This is still finite, a true analogy would be an infinite rope with no end, but we cannot comprehend that. So, a rope 93 million miles long, and every inch on that rope represents one year of life. Is there a significant difference between 1 inch and 969 inches on a rope 93 million miles long? No, all humans die young. God is not primarily interested in how long one lives this life on earth; His primary interest is in healing the hearts and minds of people so they live eternally!

Thus this idea of it not being fair to die in an earthquake is quite distorted. This is a passage from EGW, one of the founders of the SDA church. Here she describes a vision she had:

I was shown the saints' reward, the immortal inheritance. Then I was shown **how much God's people had endured for the truth's sake**, and that they would count heaven cheap enough. **They reckoned that the sufferings of this present time were not worthy to be compared with the glory which should be revealed in them**. The people of God in these last days will be tried. But soon their last trial will come, and then they will receive the gift of eternal life. {1T 432.2}

Or if you prefer the same idea from Scripture:

Therefore we do not lose heart. Though outwardly we are wasting away, yet inwardly we are being renewed day by day. ¹⁷ For our light and momentary troubles are achieving for us an eternal glory that far outweighs them all. 2Cor 4:16,17

WEDNESDAY

Read the third paragraph, "In the Sermon on the Mount..." They quoted the KJV of MT 6:34, here it is from the NIV:

Therefore do not worry about tomorrow, for tomorrow will worry about itself. Each day has enough trouble of its own.

What does it mean?

The title of the day's lesson is "Sufficient for the Day" what do you think of with this title?

"And as thy days, so shall thy strength be." Dt 33:25

Or Jesus prayed: "Give us each day our daily bread." Luke 11:3



This is a principle of how God works—we get the strength for today, today. We don't get the strength for tomorrow today. Many people worry about future events and burden themselves with tomorrow's problems today and thus feel overwhelmed and exhausted, why? Because they are not given the strength for tomorrow, or next week, or next month today, they are only given the strength for today!

THURSDAY

Read last paragraph, "Still, it's hard..." What is the point the lesson is trying to get us to accept? Are they trying to suggest that when things don't make sense we should simply believe and not think?

But, shouldn't trials and difficulties causes us to step back and reevaluate our current understanding and seek greater wisdom and insight?

Consider it pure joy, my brothers, whenever you face trials of many kinds, 3 because you know that the testing of your faith develops perseverance. 4 Perseverance must finish its work so that you may be mature and complete, not lacking anything. 5 If any of you lacks wisdom, he should ask God, who gives generously to all without finding fault, and it will be given to him. James 1:2-5

It has been said that pain is fertilizer for the soul—it is during times of difficulty that people are open to the most growth and change. It is during trials and hardships that people are open to reevaluate and mature.

What of the person who pays a faithful tithe, attends church regularly, eats the right foods, doesn't look at objectionable material, yet has their wife divorce them—is it opportunity to evaluate why? What if they discover they governed their home with an authoritarian hand: rules, intimidation, restriction of liberty, and they discovered that such methods destroy love and incite rebellion and they come to a new way of living? Was the pain, the suffering, the heart break of divorce a punishment by God?

Why, in that circumstance, did the trial come?

What would happen if the man simply said, "I have faith in God. I didn't cheat on my wife. She is the sinner not me." and doesn't seek to learn from the problem?

The lesson states we need to learn to trust in the goodness of God—but what if the God construct one has isn't good?

I have many patients who are told they need to trust God, and they say, "I know it's bad that I don't trust Him." I challenge that, and ask them to describe the God they believe in and they invariably describe a God who:



- threatens to kill them if they don't love him
- threatens to burn them in hell
- who must punish wrong-doing
- who they seek to be protected from
- who doesn't make any sense to them

Thus, their inability to trust God is directly related to the multitude of distorted ideas they have been taught about God, yet they are told they should simply trust in His goodness, when in their heart they don't believe God is good.

FRIDAY

Read and discuss the question

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

We need HELP! With what? Well, after 10 years of ministry, this website has collected a huge number of articles, blogs, videos, podcasts, and notes that need to be categorized and indexed, so YOU can easily find all that's been said on a particular topic. The problem is, we don't have hundreds of eyes and ears on staff to search, read, listen, and find all these nuggets of truth. So, Come And Reason is calling on you, our faithful supporters, to help us with this task.

What do we need from you? Easy. Whenever you come across an article, blog, video, audio, or note that talks about a specific topic (like wrath, punishment, sanctuary, temple, mind, character, sin, death, etc., etc.), simply go to About > Contact Us and send us a note telling us where you found the information (page # in a document/note, time index in a video or audio file, the exact web address where you found the material, and the TOPIC the citation is talking about). We'll take those TOPICS and arrange them into categories and build a new menu entry to index all these resources.

Thank you, all, ahead of time for your participation and help in this rather significant endeavor!

Calendar: Come and Reason now has a Calendar of Events on our Website. If you would like to know what is coming up or where Dr. J is speaking or who is teaching a particular week, check out the calendar.

January 20, 21, 2017: Dr. Jennings will be speaking at The Haven in St. Helena, California

May 6, 2017: Dr. Jennings will be speaking at Summit Northwest Ministries, Post Falls, Idaho.

September 15,16 2017: Dr. Jennings will be speaking at the Church in the Valley, Aldergrove, British Columbia, Canada.

October 12-16, 2017: Dr. Jennings will be speaking at Garden Grove SDA church in Garden Grove, CA. This will be a multi-speaker event focusing on the atonement. For more information contact:

Garden Grove Seventh-day Adventist Church

12702 9th Street

Garden Grove, CA 92840

Church office hours: Monday - Thursday 9:00 am - 4:00 pm

Phone: (714) 534-1987 **Fax**: (714) 534-3877

Email: office@gardengrovesda.com

October 27, 28, 2017: Dr. Jennings will speaking at the Arlington SDA church in Arlington, TX. For more information contact: http://www.arlingtonadventist.com/